

03/12/2011

Call to Order: 10:10 AM

Roll Call: Districts Present – 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19

Introductions:

Katie Deisler, Lisa Crowder, Stacey Toy, Karissa Dishon, Dave Carlson

Agenda:

Motion to approve with changes

District 4 – Motions

District 11 – Seconds

Passed with changes

Minutes:

Motion to accept the minutes

District 4 – Motions

District 11 – Seconds

Passed

Treasurer's Report:

\$30003.45 Balance

Balance is since the rental of the MSU meeting room and annual meeting room. Still missing financials from some teams and districts. You were notified.

Treasurer – Beginning this year if the reports are not in on time the coach or DC of the missing report will be suspended.

The financial form will be revamped to be more user friendly.

District 17 – Wouldn't it be easier to send in bank statements monthly?

Treasurer – Yes as long as you notate the statement with itemized entries.

District 18 – Would like to see once in a while how we are doing compared to the previous year.

Treasurer – Has a CPA hired.

District 9 – Likes the balance sheet but would also like a breakdown of the report.

District 16 – Last year we were suppose to have the financial forms available to complete online.

2nd Vice Chair – It comes down to a place to hold the data submitted and the method of submission would have to be changed. Currently you can fill it out, save a copy and email it in.

District 4 – Knows why we changed it in the rulebook but would like to have the reviews and audits every two years. Have the audit every year the chairman is elected.

20110312-001 Motion to hold an audit on even years and a review on odd years.

Motion – District 4

Second – District 11

Passed

Old Business:

A. District Meets

1st Vice Chair – Get the dates and locations of your junior meets to the chair to for insurance and posting on the web purposes.

2nd Vice Chair – Has received calls on dates from other districts on dates for their district meets.

District 3 – At the January meeting discussion was had on how district 3 runs their three meets. They have followed the current layout for two years. She polled her coaches and the decision was made to run meets 2 and 3 in a regional type format with double judging. They get reviewed by the same amount of judges as any other rider.

There are about 27 teams; all but two agreed to this format. It was tried and liked with few complaints. The DC visited with coaches and received good feedback. There didn't appear to be any rule against running the meets this way.

In the spring of 2010 the MIHA chair pulled the DC from 3 aside and said there was a complaint regarding how the meet was run. No particulars were made available to her at that time and no letter was provided. Things were left as is. During the summer of 2010, the DC again talked to the coaches and received the same response on meets 2 and 3; all but two were for the current format.

The DC talked to one of the coaches against it and explained why they ran it this way.

The meets were run in a regional form in the fall.

Now, the DC is hearing there are multiple complaints. Where are the letters?

Rules Chair – Talked to Chair and he said it was handled. She received three emails and was approached twice.

District 3 – Why wasn't she notified or forwarded the emails?

Rules Chair – If she deems no rules were violated then why would she send the email?

District 11 – The person writing the email should send it to the person they have the problem with.

District 3 – So no formal complaint was filed?

District 9 – As far as going back to January, part of the concern is you are using a regional format not a district format.

District 3 – Respects district 9's opinion but doesn't follow that. She follows the rulebook.

District 16 – Agrees with district 3's DC. You have to leave the DC to run their own meets. He had run gymkhana on a Thursday due to rain.

Rules Chair – If you are having a Saturday/Sunday meet you can use those absentee rider slots. At regional meets you can't use them in the same fashion.

District 3 – You can use them on Sunday.

District 16 – If someone complains about his district he would appreciate some notice there is a problem.

Rules Chair – The email sent to her is personal unless stated it can be forwarded.

District 16 – So every time a coach isn't happy they can just email the rules chair?

Rules Chair – If a rule is broken she will reply with the direction toward the rulebook.

1st Vice Chair – Redirects discussion back toward district 3's issue.

The chair took some calls regarding the issue with how the meets were run and they were discussed in January initially as a general topic but turned toward district 3 after it was asked which district was running in a different format. Discussion continued even though the district in question was not represented.

District 3 – Why was the January meeting rescheduled? If there was no reason to change then don't change it. Notice was not received until 6 days before the meeting.

District 14 – The issue seems to be a definition of what a district meet is. The rulebook doesn't state it. Until we define it we can't do anything.

1st Vice Chair – That is what we were discussing.

District 4 – The chair was told by the BOD to contact district 3.

2nd Vice Chair – It was a topic of discussion originally not toward district 3.

Lisa – This began because our fairgrounds were flooded and there were no other available options before the regional meet. A vote was held to use the indoor arena and double judge. During this time the coaches were approaching the show staff telling how they liked this format. The DC checked the rulebook for any rule against it and found none.

There is a chain of command. If receive an email directed to you personally that is one thing, but if it is a MIHA thing then it should be passed on.

Why would you discuss anything about a district without their representation there? They have a right to know. People say they have approached the DC but haven't. The DC and assistants are trying to make improvements and feels they are being slapped for this. It is their business to know when concerns are being sent to the executive board.

District 4 – All there was in January was discussion. We made a motion about three years ago that anything coming through has to be forwarded to the DC or at least given to the chair.

The only thing the rulebooks says is 3 meets are required. There is nothing about how they are run.

1st Vice Chair – Disagrees about the rulebook comment. It does state information about the 17 classes.

District 4 – We've had to deal with rain outs in the last few years.

1st Vice Chair – Those are special conditions.

2nd Vice Chair – What if the double judged show gets rained out? Do you then have a triple or quadruple judged show?

District 18 – Believes we are looking for uniformity. It was not 3 meets by normal definition.

1st Vice Chair – This was the chairs idea that these were not 3 individual meets.

District 16 – Needs to know then how to run his meet.

Rules Chair – That is not what was said.

In our goals this is about the kids. Her concern was they don't get their 2 full shots at each class, only one. The chair was the one who said he received concerns.

District 4 – On page 10 bylaw Article 7 it shows suggested class order.

Rules Chair – It states suggested order not suggested classes.

District 17 – The classes aren't being run as suggested.

District 4 – It comes down to who shows up and who doesn't.

District 14 – What about the unforeseen?

District 2 – It's about the fairness of the rider. Maybe it was suggested but voted down. Their district had suggested a 3 day show on Labor Day weekend which would comprise 1 meet per day. It was voted down.

District 3 – For years they did 17 classes over two days. This is not a good argument to use, the unforeseen. What is the difference?

District 18 – Would like this issue tabled to allow time to put solutions together on paper.

Rules Chair – You state you are saving money on judges, how?

District 3 – On a typical meet they would use three judges but this way they only use two. Over a 3 meet process they would hire nine judges. This way they are hiring six.

20110312-002 Motion to table this subject until next month (Withdrawn)

District 3 – Is there any reason for it to be back on the agenda?

Rules Chair – We need the chair's information.

20110312-003 Motion to dismiss the issue. There is no rule infraction and district 3 can continue to run their meet as is. Clarification of what a meet is will be brought up in December to clearly define the rulebook.

Motion – District 14

Second – District 4

Passed with 1 Abstain

B. Letter Regarding St. John's Issue

District 4 – After the St. Johns issue was over and determined no rules were broken, the junior steering committee sent a letter to the plaintiff's lawyer and the plaintiff. His and the St. Johns coach's name were on the letter as CC'd. He never received the letter until the 1st Vice Chair sent it to him.

July 14th the letter was sent.

States an email is a formal complaint

Rules Chair – It isn't until a paper letter is sent.

C. SOP

District 4 – Coaches don't have to register but once. He would like it on the web.

2nd Vice Chair – Asked for the email to be sent to him.

New Business:

A. Coggins Law

Rules Chair – The coggins law expired. You still need one to transport out of state. Our rulebook states we need one each year. She is receiving questions

whether MIHA will require one this year. They don't want to pay for it if they don't have to.

There is legislation in the works to reinstate the law but it will be revamped a bit.

District 18 – We should leave ours as is. It at least gets the horse in front of a vet once a year.

2nd Vice Chair – Talked to a couple of people. It looks like it will be passed again. They are looking to change it to a rolling calendar year.

District 16 – Just as an organization, if the law doesn't exist then will the rulebook be changed?

20110312-004 Motion to leave the coggins requirement in the rulebook regardless of the law decision.

Motion – District 2

Second – District 5

Passed

B. Rulebook Printing

District 4 – When the junior rulebook issue was brought up he was talking to someone already about the printing.

Would like to print them in 8 ½ X 11 format for the coaches and the current format for the judges.

Rules Chair – Thinks the competition rules should be in the current format and all other governing rules be only on the web.

District 9 – If we are going to use 8 ½ X 11 then have the coaches print their own off the web.

Rules Chair – As a judge she likes the smaller rulebook.

1st Vice Chair – We need to find the cost first.

So far the company donates all the formatting. Let's talk to the company.

District 3 – Can we have someone here next month to discuss it?

District 11 – Can we get the rulebook put up on the web?

1st Vice Chair – Would like that done.

District 3 – Agrees with Amy.

District 4 – Can we refrain from using the 2011-2012 on the front of the rulebook and make it only 2011?

Rules Chair – We are following the same patterns as senior, pattern 3.

2nd Vice Chair – The reason the date was on the book like that was we were using the rulebook for two years. It can be changed back.

Rules Chair – We can't make those changes to the book on the web due to formatting. Last year she gave the changes to Chris in Word format and he sent them to the printer so to make a motion to put them on the web won't do any good as we cannot make the changes ourselves.

District 5 – Has software to convert a PDF document to different formats. She knows from experience the company will not give up their software.

District 4 – Christy told him if the changes are to her in Word format she can have them printed quick.

Rules Chair – Asked if she could proof the last rulebook but did not get to do that.

District 18 – We need to spend the time to convert it to 8 ½ X 11 format.

20110312-005 Motion to get the rulebook formatted to 8 ½ X 11 and placed on the web.

District 4 amends to allow the rules chairperson to proof the book and handle all printing.

Motion – District 11

Second – District 9

Passed

MIHA paid \$3393.48 in rulebook printing last year.

District 3 – Coaches will be responsible for printing their own rulebook.

C. Article X

Under organization of teams – “school district” is conflicting.

District 14 – The educational institution is the building not the entire district.

Rules Chair – Would like to change the rulebook as a misprint.

District 2 – It’s a misprint. It is individual high school.

Rules Chair – She has a district consolidating sports and the equestrian team wants to combine.

District 9 – You can ask for advice but we cannot change the rulebook.

District 16 – Asks for a clarification – Each school is separate in a district.

What are we trying to do?

2nd Vice Chair – Each school have its own team.

District 5 – Would like to make it more consistent, Article X b.

20110312-005 Motion to have DCs make it an SOP to treat “public school district” as a typo and state “public school” under Article X.

Motion – District 11

Second – District 3

Passed

D. Williamston Issue

1st Vice Chair – A complaint came out of Williamston.

The DC from district 4 did an initial assessment and made a recommendation to move it to the executive board. The chair took the lead and did some research. The coach during that time resigned.

The parent board is instructing the coach.

20110312-006 Motion to table the issue until the chairperson gives his findings.

Motion – District 18

Second – District 5

Failed

District 9 – We as a board have heard about it and hopes if it is still an issue it is done next month.

1st Vice Chair – None of the other executive board members had anything to do with the investigation.

District 9 – Shouldn’t you all be involved? Why are you allowing that to happen?

1st Vice Chair – As others have expressed concern with communication we experience the same.

District 9 – How many of you are on the executive board?

1st Vice Chair – 5 not including rules chair and state show chair

We do know some of the information but are not sure of the outcome.

District 9 – You have the right to be involved. If you don't insist on it you aren't doing your job.

District 16 – There is a small issue with the bottom line. Why hasn't district 4 asked the rest of the executive board for information?

1st Vice Chair – Due to the St. Johns issue, he is leery of getting into more trouble.

District 16 – The board should have not given it to the chair.

1st Vice Chair – In hind sight he should have made copies.

District 4 – The issue was brought up in September. He contacted all parties and the complaint was filed in October.

While they already know rules were broken the team went to states.

The 1st vice went to a meeting and advised the complaint get sent upward.

The coach found out how things were run and she agreed and resigned.

Chair told Terry not to investigate the issue after the regional meet. He asked why and was told it was due to the state meet.

Dave Carlson has been calling the chairperson every week. One of the responses from the chair was he hadn't received everything from the district chair. The chair stated he would call the DC. He has not done that.

Wouldn't you as the investigator contact the DC who has the knowledge?

As of March, nothing has been done.

This is an issue district 4's chair needs all of the BOD involved in. We have coaches and parent boards that make their own rules superseding the rulebook. In his opinion the team left district 11 to get better odds in competition. Their complaint against the previous coach was she didn't do enough to be competitive. Most of the team shows at Ingham County fairgrounds all summer. He feels rules are made which aren't right.

Kids are being told they cannot join because they did not start in April. There is a stipulation in MIHA's rules against this. The email list was a problem also. Everyone communicated by email. As soon as they went to regionals the emails stopped. No emails were sent in 2010.

District 14 – This is an area our rulebook doesn't address. This is where our coaches need to have leeway.

Secretary – In order to maintain control of the rules made out of the rulebook, the DC or the state needs to review them and have a current copy on file. If the rules are not on file they don't exist.

1st Vice Chair – The chairperson believes the problem is with the parent board. He agrees with the secretary that if rules are brought forth they need to be reviewed to find if they are reasonable.

District 11 – The real issue is we need SOPs handling this. It resembles cutting; the requirements are set so high you can't meet them. An SOP to handle the team rules in the district.

One also should be created to control running of the investigative process.

20110312-007 Motions for two members of the executive board to take over the investigation of the Williamston complaint and report back with a resolution in April. (Withdrawn)

Dave Carlson – Mr. Larson still had time to meet the requirements. He did show all summer but wanted to change horses. He was told it didn't meet the requirements due to safety.

People are wanting an answer. It's been five months.

Rules Chair – Should the investigation be done by those in the district? (2nd Vice Chair and Secretary)

District 9 – Would rather see our rulebook reinforced and have the executive board handle the situation. It shouldn't matter there are two within the district.

District 5 – Proposed a friendly amendment to the above motion. Amend that Joann and Dennis handle the investigation and present the findings to the BOD.

District 2 – Isn't it just the executive board that makes the recommendation? It should be the executive board bringing back the resolution and presenting that to the BOD for final approval.

District 16 – It comes down to the same thing. It states in the rulebook the executive board and we have one person making the decision. Do we need to really put forth a motion to have the board do their job?

District 4 – Larry Flanary put in that the team has to vote on their rules annually.

Rules Chair – We have an SOP turned down to require teams to be by August.

District 18 – Believes we are trying to prevent the extremes.

District 14 – if this passes then the current membership committee should be responsible.

20110312-008 Motion for the membership committee to research possible solutions and changes to the rulebook to clarify team mandates and team rules.

Motion – District 4

Second – District 5

Passed with 1 Abstain

E. Judges List

2nd Vice Chair – A few judges are requesting to be on the MIHA approved judges list. We don't have one. The names on there now are ones who have judged MIHA before. The 4H judges list added an MIHA box. The concern is because those names are up on the web, MIHA approves those judges. Our options are to remove the list or form a committee to interview and approve or disapprove judges.

Rules Chair – The way it is on the web it looks like a valid list. Her recommendation is to remove it from the web.

District 5 – If their name is out there they get a rulebook, correct?

Answer is no.

20110312-009 Motion to remove the list from the web site.

Motion – District 16

Second – District 4

Passed

F. Executive Board

2nd Vice Chair – The membership including the BOD elects the executive board.

As an executive board it is important to be unified in decisions. Whether this is agreed by all or not.

Now there are things being brought up which puts us in a position of slamming another board member.

If the BOD feels there is a problem with the executive board, the BOD needs to make a decision on what should be done.

District 9 – Our bylaws don't state anything on this.

Lisa – As a board this all reflects on you. It's frustrating when things aren't followed through.

2nd Vice Chair – Conveys to the BOD the executive board may not agree on every issue but cannot relay that to you we are not unified on the subject.

District 14 – As the executive board do you operate by parliamentary procedure? As such you should be voting.

District 4 – Can the BOD make a motion for the resignation of the chairperson?

District 14 – It can be asked but the person does not have to comply.

District 4 – Our current chair is out of state and has his phone but does not respond. If he resigns the 1st vice chair moves up and possibly the 2nd vice chair.

20110312-010 Motion for the executive board to strongly ask the chairperson for a resignation. (Restated below)

Motion – District 4

Second – District 3

District 14 – Asking a member of the executive board to resign should not be placed on the executive board.

2nd Vice Chair – Would like district 4 to not make that motion in the chair's absence.

District 4 – Wants the motion.

District 3 – Seconds

District 14 – Doesn't think it needs to go that far right now. She would like to continue until February.

Rules Chair – What happens in February if he runs again for the position?

2nd Vice Chair – You have asked for that before and it did not happen.

District 16 – Before the vote, the executive board is in a hard spot. We had the same issue four months ago. If the chair were to leave would the executive board want to step up?

2nd Vice Chair – Won't promise the job would be any better done and isn't what he wants to do.

Rules Chair – Because she and the 1st vice chair are planning to meet regarding Williamston they will be inviting the chair and can talk to him at that time.

District 16 – The chair should not talk to a coach by himself. Refers back to district 3's issue. The bottom line is having one guy not doing his job and it being swept under the rug. How do we get beyond that?

The chair needs to speak for himself.

District 3 – Is everyone ready to do that?

District 4 – Is not trying to make everyone feel poorly. The chair has been breaking the rules, committees, rulebooks. Every month we argue about this. The hope was it going to be better. All he wants is a strong indication to the

chair that this is done and has to stop. He is asking for a strong voice stating such.

District 3 – We have to show some unity.

District 4 - Has been around MIHA for 20 years and is tired of getting in trouble and all he wants is some change.

District 9 – Along the same lines he strongly feels the chair should be here.

It's time to send a strong message to the chair.

Secretary – How do you want to handle putting him in front of a large group?

She does not want a person to be put into a situation where they are being attacked by a mob.

1st Vice Chair – Is not comfortable with non BOD input at this time.

District 11 – Is friends with the chair but does have differences of opinion.

She does not feel comfortable voting on this without his presence.

Rules Chair – We've talked about speaking freely. She has talked to the chair and knows he has good qualities. Her issue is communication skills, not that he is not doing his job. She is not sure they are totally on the same page but does appreciate his qualities. She does get frustrated at lack of communication. She wants us to have a mandate to have the discussion with him by the executive board.

District 16 – We were told his decision but it shouldn't have been his. It should've been the executive board's.

District 18 – Proposes a friendly amendment to the above motion. Allow the executive board to have a frank discussion with the chair.

2nd Vice Chair – Doesn't feel it is going to work.

District 18 – He has to see the discussion at the table.

District 4 – Would like a registered letter sent to him.

District 5 – Agrees with secretary on a method of handling the problem.

District 5 – There is a grievance policy. Why hasn't a letter been sent? We need to sit down and be specific. We ask our coaches and DCs to do this.

District 4 – Knows he will end up being responsible for the letter because no one else will.

20110312-010 Motion for the executive board to strongly ask the chairperson for a resignation.

Motion – District 4

Second – District 3

Failed (Pass – 3,4,16,17 Oppose – 5,11,14,18,19 Abstain – 2,8,9,13,15)

District 3 – Those abstaining, will you be silent next month?

District 2 – No, plans to call the chair.

District 9 – Feels chair should be here for discussion.

District 14 – Voted no believing there is a different way.

District 18 – This should not be done without the person here.

G. National Meet

Dan from WIHA approached the 2nd vice chair stating they are doing great.

They have been approached by Indiana asking to join Wisconsin teams.

Looking to the future Dan would like to expand and possibly have a national meet.

Committees

State Show Committee – Chair for 2011 not appointed yet

Rules Committee – Joanne Kosanic is reappointed to chair

Junior Committee – No Report

20110312-011 Motion to allow DCs to approve cooperative applications in their districts and send the documentation on to the junior steering committee

Motion – District 2

Second – District 4

Passed

Regional Committee – Minor changes made at the previous meeting.

District 2 – We are support have rotating regions and need to commit to that.

Membership – Gathering names for this committee

Historian – No Report

Judges Committee – Most judges have been hired for states. We are not announcing names yet.

Trophy Committee –Continuing to pass out trophies at districts and regional. Keeper trophies will be available at states along with loaner trophies. Trophy will be sent to Marie

Finance – No Report

Nominations – Two positions are up for reelection this year, 2nd Vice Chair and Chair.

Patterns - No Report

Scholarship – Winners will receive their scholarship awards this weekend.

Who from MIHA is handing them out?

1st Vice Chair – Hoping someone from MHC will in our absence.

November 30, 2011 is the deadline for this year.

District Reports:

1. Not Present
2. No Report
3. Good
4. Waiting for result from an investigation
5. Left prior to this time.
6. Not Present
7. Not Present
8. Good

9. All judges are hired and has expanded inquiry about the alumni show. Hiring two judges for the classes. This will be a money maker for the district. The flyer is almost done. Date is August 6th and 7th. 5:00pm Aug 6th & 9:00am Aug 7th.
10. Not Present
11. No Report
12. Not Present
13. No Report
14. Good
15. No Report
16. Junior numbers are looking good and has a new showing facility in Hudsonville.
17. Good. Judges hired
18. Good
19. Good
20. Not Present

Correspondence:

1st Vice Chair – If someone makes you aware of something but it's anonymous, how do you investigate? How serious of a anonymous tip do you get before exploring?

District 9 – If it is truly anonymous he doesn't believe it can go as far as even being read before the BOD. It could go to the DC.

Rules Chair – The idea there are some serious issues with safety may warrant a look.

District 14 – It says in the rulebook signed and dated.

Rules Chair – Where is the line on reporting it to the DC?

District 16 – Same as in his district, a person couldn't compete as a freshman but told him it couldn't be handled until it was a formal complaint.

District 3 – Can't you address it as a DC?

1st Vice Chair – As a DC he calls the coach to ask for validity. This was sent to the executive board and others. He can't investigate it as a complaint. He calls the DC.

Rules Chair – The letter was sent to the school.

2nd Vice Chair – You as DCs handle things very differently.

District 4 – it should be kicked back to the DC.

Rules Chair – Will forward the concern not the email.

Call to the Public:

Lisa – Doesn't feel the chairperson situation is being swept under the rug intentionally, but does feel he is biting off more than he can chew.

As far as the voting, they have a hard time getting people to the annual meeting. It is important to be at the BOD meetings and see what happens. You can't put things off every month. Appreciates the effort the DCs put in.

1st Vice Chair – Explains reasoning for the timing of visitor comments.

Lisa – It's really frustrating to have votes called before everyone has had their say.

Dave Carlson – Feels the Williamston issue should've never made it past the January meeting.

As far as the anonymous letter – His daughter was embarrassed by the coach and quit the team due to being put on the spot. This is why people don't want to be identified.

Motion to adjourn
District 9 – Motions
District 11 – Seconds
Passed

Adjourned 1:50pm

Motions:

Agenda:

District 17 – Motions
District 18 – Seconds
Passed with changes

Minutes:

District 4 – Motions
District 11 – Seconds
Passed

Treasurer's Report:

District 17 – Motions
District 4 – Seconds
Passed

Motion to adjourn

District 9 – Motions
District 11 – Seconds
Passed

20110312-001 Motion to hold an audit on even years and a review on odd years.

**Motion – District 4
Second – District 11
Passed**

20110312-002 Motion to table this subject until next month (Withdrawn)

20110312-003 Motion to dismiss the issue. There is no rule infraction and district 3 can continue to run their meet as is. Clarification of what a meet is will be brought up in December to clearly define the rulebook.

**Motion – District 14
Second – District 4
Passed with 1 Abstain**

20110312-004 Motion to leave the coggins requirement in the rulebook regardless of the law decision.

**Motion – District 2
Second – District 5**

Passed

20110312-005 Motion to have DCs make it an SOP to treat “public school district” as a typo and state “public school” under Article X.

**Motion – District 11
Second – District 3
Passed**

20110312-006 Motion to table the issue until the chairperson gives his findings.

Motion – District 18

Second – District 5

Failed

20110312-007 Motions for two members of the executive board to take over the investigation of the Williamston complaint and report back with a resolution in April. (Withdrawn)

20110312-008 Motion for the membership committee to research possible solutions and changes to the rulebook to clarify team mandates and team rules.

Motion – District 4

Second – District 5

Passed with 1 Abstain

20110312-009 Motion to remove the list from the web site.

Motion – District 16

Second – District 4

Passed

20110312-010 Motion for the executive board to strongly ask the chairperson for a resignation.

Motion – District 4

Second – District 3

Failed (Pass – 3,4,16,17 Oppose – 5,11,14,18,19 Abstain – 2,8,9,13,15)

20110312-011 Motion to allow DCs to approve cooperative applications in their districts and send the documentation on to the junior steering committee

Motion – District 2

Second – District 4

Passed

Tasks:

Email Address Verification:

2009 MIHA Executive Board

Chair	Chris Cook	ccook7634@gmail.com
1 st Vice Chair	Dennis Stanton	stantondennis@yahoo.com
2 nd Vice Chair	Mike Yanz	mikey0530@yahoo.com
Secretary	Pattie Yanz	jiscuriosity@yahoo.com
Treasurer:	Chene Godsey	chenegodsey@gmail.com
Rules Chair	Joan Kosanic	jokosanic@hotmail.com
State Show Chair	Cindy Tesolin	bonnieview2@comcast.net
Jr. Coordinator	Annette Thelen	thelena@msu.edu

2009 MIHA Board of Directors/District Chairs

District 1	Cindy Tesolin	bonnieview2@comcast.net
District 2	Fred Stockley	hstockley@ameritech.net
District 3	Judy Stilwell	judys_in@hotmail.com
District 4	Terry Scurlock	terry_scurlock@yahoo.com
District 5	Candice Russell	cmrussell1969@yahoo.com
District 6	Arlene Koenig	akkoenig@chartermi.net
District 7	Myra Zolynsky	mzolynsky@aol.com
District 8	Tiffany Spedowski	tspeds@tucker-usa.com
District 9	Doyle Fenner	doylefenner@yahoo.com
District 10	Bill Miller	verl9@aol.com
District 11	Amy Husted	amyhusted@verizon.net
District 12	Todd Visga	todd.visga@comcast.net
District 13	Angie Tippin	a_tippin@hotmail.com
District 14	Marie Currell	curellm@hotmail.com
District 15	Dennis Stanton	stantondennis@yahoo.com
District 16	Steve Luyk	steve.luyk@gmail.com
District 17	Hidie Morford	hmorford@hotmail.com
District 18	Kris Deisler	kvelectric1@juno.com
District 19	Leanne Rooker	lmrooker2000@yahoo.com
District 20	Beth Harwood	clintharwood@aol.com